

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,175	03/10/2004	Shane Dexter	DEV 01-38-2	4065		
23531	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER			
SUITER WEST PC LLO			CAMPBELL, THOR S			
14301 FNB PARKWAY SUITE 220		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
OMAHA, NE 68154			3742			
			DATE MAILED, 07/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					!`	L A-	_4		
		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		M	П		
Office Action Summary			10/797,175		DEXTER ET AL.		$\ \cdot \ $	J		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit					
		Т	hor S. Campbell		3742					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appea	rs on the cover shee	et with the co	rrespondence ad	dress				
Period for			O OFT TO EVOIDE	o MONTH/O	\ FDOM					
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision MX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wit statutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, ma thin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) I use the application to becom	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days of MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communicat	ion.			
Status										
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .								
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositio	on of Claims									
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the	application.								
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		from consideration.							
5)□ (Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.									
7)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are objected to.									
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or e	lection requirement.							
Application	on Papers									
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.								
10)⊠ T	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
į	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)∐ T	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Exan	niner. Note the attac	ched Office A	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		-							
12)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
· ·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•		. ,					
, –	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been received.							
2	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority				n No					
,	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies					Stage				
	application from the Internati									
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified copies	not received						
Attachment(, .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OTO 442\					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iew Summary (I No(s)/Mail Date						
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) Notice	of Informal Pa	tent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date		6) L Other:	·						

Application/Control Number: 10/797,175

Art Unit: 3742

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 9 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of original claim 12 of copending Application No. 10/087899. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6467394 in view of Polk et al. (US 4926904) or Saney (US 5871152). Both Polk and Saney disclose pressure washing devices having pulse dampening hoses retained thereto in order

Application/Control Number: 10/797,175

Art Unit: 3742

to minimize pressure fluctuations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a pressure pulse dampening hose in order to minimize pressure fluctuation as is well known in the art.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,431,844. '844 claims the same invention with the exception of a framed engine assembly for driving the pump it is generally well known in the art to provide framed engine assembly coupled to a pressure pump to provide for a portable unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device claimed in '844 to include a frame and engine assembly in order to make a pressure washing system that is portable.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,467,394.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are merely broader than the patent claim. Once an applicant has received a patent for a more specific embodiment, applicant is not entitled to a patent for a broader invention. See *In re Goodman*. The generic invention is anticipated by the species of the patented invention. With respect to the claim limitations of a cylinder formed in a pump housing, these limitations are considered to be inherent in the patent claims.

Claims 24 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,431,844. '844 claims the same invention with the exception of an unloader valve, it is generally well known in the art to provide an unloader valve coupled to a pressure washer to provide for relieving pressure on the

Application/Control Number: 10/797,175

Art Unit: 3742

unit when not in use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device claimed in '844 to include an unloader valve in order to provide for relieving pressure on the unit when not in use.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 703-306-9042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 5:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TSC 6/25/04

THOR CAMPBELL STERT EXAMINER